



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,169	05/11/2001	Pavel Macholda		5945
7590	07/09/2004		EXAMINER	
PAVEL MACHOLDA 1800 BLANKENSHIP ROAD, SUITE 200 WEST LINN, OR 97068			PHAM, KHANH B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2177	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/853,169	MACHOLDA, PAVEL
	<b>Examiner</b> Khanh B. Pham	<b>Art Unit</b> 2177

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2004.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                    2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  
7)  Claim(s) 3-5 is/are objected to.  
8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claims 3-5 are objected to because of the following informalities: the period after "claim 1" and "or 2" in lines 1-2 of claims 3-5 should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. **Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)** as being anticipated by

Robertson (US 6,269,369 B1), hereinafter "Robertson".

**As per claim 1**, Robertson teaches a contact list manager comprising:

- "each entry in the list is represented by a world-wide unique identifier, which enables the user to locate the original device" at Fig. 10, element 626, 628, 630;
- "where the user whose information is being requested stores and is able to modify his own contact information" at Fig. 10, element 632, 636, 638.

**As per claim 2**, Robertson teaches a user profile management system, where

- "each profile is maintained by the concerned user in a device identified by a world-wide unique identifier" at Fig. 10, elements 630, 632, 638;

- “and from that device the profile is made available to those other users who include the concerned person's world-wide unique identifier in their contact list managers” at Fig. 10.

**As per claim 3**, Robertson teaches the contact list manager and user profile manager of claims 1, 2, further include: “functions for storing, retrieving, deleting, modifying, and communicating the list of world-wide unique identifiers” at Col. 11 lines 50-67.

**As per claim 4**, Robertson teaches the contact list manager and user profile manager of claims 1, 2, further include: “function for wireless and communication-line based electronic devices to transfer, retrieve, modify, delete, and communicate the information identified in the list of world-wide unique identifiers” at Col. 4 lines 27-45, Col. 11 lines 50-67 and Fig. 14.

**As per claim 5**, Robertson teaches the contact list managers and the user profile managers of claims 1, 2, further include: “functions for utilizing buffer zones to synchronize and safeguard the information contained in the lists of world-wide unique identifiers and the profile information” at Col. 4 lines 54-60.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/18/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicant's argument.

Applicant argued that “Robertson's system relies on a central storage”, while applicant's invention “describe devices that communicate directly with each other,

without the use of any central server or database". It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "devices that communicate directly with each other, without the use of any central server or database") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Robertson teaches each and every limitation of claims 1-5 as discussed above. Claims 1-5 are therefore rejected.

### ***Conclusion***

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-

7299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh B. Pham  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2177

KBP  
June 28, 2004

  
SRIRAMA CHINNAMJALA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER